(WED) OCT 20 2004 10:17/ST. 10:16/No. 6833031235 P

FROM ROGITZ 619 338 8078

CASE NO.: STL9-2000-0035-US1

Serial No.; 09/922,182

October 20, 2004

Page 3

PATENT

Filed: August 2, 2001

Further, Applicant's strong rationale as to why no fair prior art suggestion to combine the references as

proposed remains unrebutted.

Accordingly, Applicant preserves for appeal its observations that modifying Barnett et al. to download

the coupons automatically as now recited in the case of the present advertisements would defeat a purpose

of Barnett et al. (to allow a user to decide what coupons to download) and thus would be improper under

MPEP §2143.01 (citing In re Gordon), rendering the present claims patentable.

In addition, the allegation that it would have been obvious to modify Barnett et al. to access a Web

site by clicking on one of its coupons because Landsman et al. teaches accessing Web sites when

advertisements are clicked continues to lack support in the prior art. Regardless of whether advertising

information can be contained in the coupons of Barnett et al., they remain coupons intended to be printed out

and redeemed. That is why, as the examiner has been forced to confess, Barnett et al. nowhere teaches

accessing web sites by clicking its coupons. Landsman et al. is not directed to coupons or for that matter

to advertising contained in coupons.

The proferred motivation to combine - "to provide the users of Barnett with a familiar interface and

an ability to access further advertisement information" - fails to bear any relevance to the reference (Barnett

et al.) sought to be modified. Specifically, users of Barnett et al. already have a "familiar interface" (a

browser), and nowhere does Barnett et al. motivate accessing further information, because it is directed to

increasing sales through coupons, not to marketing through advertising. Indeed, Barnett et al.'s casual

comment that its coupon file might include advertising (the fact that the advertising consists of "graphics, text,"

etc." is irrelevant because regardless of its format it is all contained in the coupon file) is simply inadequate

to motivate the skilled artisan to toss in the ability to access a web site by clicking on the coupon, because

1176-3.AM4

PATENT Filed: August 2, 2001

CASE NO.: STL9-2000-0035-US1

Serial No.: 09/922,182 October 20, 2004

Page 4

the user already is at the intended web site of Barnett et al. by virtue of affirmatively downloading the coupons. Applicant has quite accurately characterized Barnett et al. and has correctly noted for the above reasons that Barnett et al. and Landsman et al. are apples and oranges, a point that the Board most assuredly will not brush off so lightly.

The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (619) 338-8075 for any reason which would advance the instant application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Rogitz

Registration No. 33,549

Attorney of Record

750 B Street, Suite 3120

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg

1176-3.AM4